NSC BRIEFING

28 August 1956

BACKGROUND--SUEZ

I. Egypt's Position.

Nasr, in agreeing to discuss the Suez issue with the five-power committee, is thinking in terms of a compromise with the majority position at the London conference.

- A. The Egyptian government is believed to have been shaken by the fact that none of the 22 governments at the London conference was willing to support the precise extreme terms of the Egyptian position.
- B. We think Nasr is also aware of the difficulties Egypt is likely to experience soon as a result of two factors--the pilot problem and Western economic pressures.

II. The Pilot Problem.

25X1

C. Meanwhile, the Egyptians are making strenuous efforts to obtain pilots from other countries—Germany, Italy and Greece for example—but there is no firm information yet on how many they may get. They have already lowered pilot standards considerably: where the Canal Company required a pilot to have a master's certificate, the Egyptians are now asking only for second mate's papers.

25X1

25X1

- III. Canal Operations. So far, the Egyptians have been alle to keep the canal running, although a backlog of ships waiting to go through is threatening to pile up. Aside from the increasingly severe pilot problem, the Egyptians will eventually face dredging and other longer-term maintenance difficulties. At the moment, a Dutch dedging firm is complaining that it cannot get two of its dredges out of Egypt.
- IV. Egyptian Military Preparations. It is only in the last two weeks that Cairo has begun to make what appears to be serious preparations to meet possible military action.
 - A. The Egyptian commander-in-chief, General Hakim Amir, reportedly believes that an attack would be most likely in the form of landings along the beaches east and west of Alexandria, with the objective of striking toward Cairo down the highway running along the western edge of the Nile delta.
 - B. The Egyptians are now going through the motions of establishing defensive positions along the coast. On 23 August, they also started bringing armor—a mixture of medium and heavy tanks and self-propelled guns—into a defensive area a short distance south—west of Cairo.
 - C. The bulk of Egypt's forces, however, remain on the Israeli front in the Sinai peninsula. Withdrawals from that front during the last week have done no more than to reduce Egyptian strength to the level that existed before last April (42,000).
- Y. Arab-Israeli Situation. The Suez crisis has had the effect of freezing the Arab-Israeli problem.



- A. Israel is pursuing a "wait-and-see" policy, hopeful that the West will knock out its archenemy, Nasr, or that at the least Israel will receive some additional Western arms.
- B. The Arab States are also waiting to see what happens to Nasr; they almost certainly do not want to get into serious trouble with Israel while the Suez issue is still hot.
- VI. Soviet Position. Soviet leaders and propagandists have continued to indicate that the USSR primarily seeks peace in the Middle East.
 - A. Both Foreign Minister Shepilov and the Soviet army newspaper

 Red Star, however, last week gave carefully worded warnings that
 the use of force by the West might cause Suez dispute to spread
 outside the Middle East.
 - B. Both Soviet statements have nonetheless made it clear that the USSR is avoiding any commitment to direct military support for Egypt against the West.

25X1

- 1. Even Khrushchev did not link the USSR directly with the defense of Egypt when he made a skillful but really meaningless gesture on 23 August by saying if his swn wished to volunteer for Egyptian service he would give the boy his blessing.
- C. The Soviet role in the Suez controversy thus remains ambivalent.

 Onthe one hand, the USSR determinedly seeks to identify itself

 with Arab nationalistic aspirations, but at the same time

 Moscow continues to denounce the use of force and insist that
 a peaceful solution can be found.

-3-

25X1

- D. In our view, Moscow's present aim is to prolong negotiations in the belief that as time goes on, the majority of nations whose vessels use the canal will tend increasingly to accept Egyptian operation and control.
- VII. UK Position. British public opinion since the end of the London conference, reflected mainly in press comment, has increasingly urged its government to offer assurances it will avoid the use of force unless sanctioned by the United Nations.
 - A. In the face of this change in UK public opinion, the British government is considering further economic sanctions against Egupt in case the situation becomes critical.
 - B. Possible recourses, barring military action, include the denial of canal dues to Egypt, wider blocing of Egyptian sterling accounts, a limitation on exports to Egypt and, in company with the US and France, an embargo on Egyptian cotton.
 - 1. Of these, the withholding of canal dues is apparently seen as the most promising device.
 - 2. As to account-bloding, thusfar only Belgium and Turkey have joined Britain, France and the US in this action.
 - C. London's propaganda has meanwhile sought to discredit Nasr; both Eden and Foreign Secretary Lloyd have stressed Nasr's alleged "dictator" complex, while others have freely compared the Egyptian leader to Hitler.
- VIII. French Position. French public opinion, in contrast to the UK, views the Suez crisis even more gravely than before the London Conference.

Approved For Release 2003/10/01 : CIA-RDP79R00890A000700080021-9

- A. Many French political leaders still gree the use of force, seeing no alternative despite a growing realization of both the material difficulties and what seems to them the incalculable consequences of such a course.
- B. While the French by themselves are in no position to take military measures, they would almost certainly join in any British use of force, and appear to believe firmly that the USSR would not use its own arms to counter an Anglo-French attack on Egypt.

25X6

<i>F</i>	 χ	1	0.8	

